

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | j                     | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/037,194                                      | 10/037,194 11/09/2001 |             | Haruyama Shinichi    | 678-756 (P9786)         | 6677            |
| 28249   | 7590                  | 12/08/2006  |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|   |                       | RRESE, LLP  | JAMAL, ALEXANDER     |                         |                 |
| 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.<br>UNIONDALE, NY 11553 |                       |             | ·                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| ·   |                       |             |                      | 2614                    |                 |
|   |                       |             | •                    | DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006 | 5               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | 10/037,194  | SHINICHI ET AL.  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Alexander Jamal   | 2614   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Secondary</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the practice under Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expressi | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r   |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  |   | Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | •  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment entered via RCE (8-28-2006), the examiner notes that claims 1,3 and 6 have been amended.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tran (6184833).

As per claims 3,4, Tran discloses a portable phone (Figs. 14a,14b) with a dual strip antenna (dipole antenna pattern) arranged on a PCB surface (Col 6 line 61 to Col 7 line 5). Tran discloses the antenna may be micro-etched onto one side of a printed circuit board (a second surface) (Col 6 lines 65-68). Tran additionally discloses that the antenna

may be mounted opposite to a mounted speaker in the device (Col 5 lines 45-55) (Col 10 lines 5-20). Examiner reads a PCB as any structure that supports said speaker (a first surface), and notes that mounting an antenna behind a speaker would be on the opposite side of the PCB that supports and electrically couples said speaker. The examiner notes that any supporting structure for the dual strip antenna (such as the 'ground plane' noted in Col 6 lines 60-65) could be considered an 'antenna board' as used in claim 4.

As per claim 5, the antenna (and it's dielectric) form a multi-layered structure to be mounted on the PCB (Fig. 4).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong (6615026), and further in view of Thill (5678201).

As per claim 1, Wong discloses a plurality of antennas with each antenna coupled to power-feed phase control means (Col 3 lines 40-60, Fig. 5). The antennas are dipole antennas (Col 2 lines 45-57). Wong discloses that a radiation pattern is controlled to reduce the exposure of the human head to the radiation. The radiation pattern is

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194

Art Unit: 2614

controlled by controlling the amplitude or phase of the radiating elements which will control the phase and amplitude of any current fed into the antennas. Electromagnetic waveforms cancel each out when they collide (it is a property inherent to waveforms). The cumulative radiation dispersion from an antenna array is comprised of the individual antenna radiations canceling and adding to each other. Since Wong discloses controlling the phase of each antenna in order to direct the overall radiation away from the user's head, his system comprises controlling phase to cancel the waves in the vicinity of the user's head. However, Wong does not disclose each antenna coupled to an individual BALUN.

Thill teaches the well known concept of using a BALUN coupled to an individual radio antenna (ABSTRACT). Thill teaches that a BALUN acts to match impedance characteristics between an antenna and the driving or receiving circuitry (Col 1 lines 10-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that each antenna could be coupled with a BALUN for the purpose of matching impedances between each stage in the circuit.

As per claim 2, the phase control means will adjust the power distribution ratio by varying the phases (and as such, the amplitudes) of each respective antenna signal. Wong further discloses directly controlling the amplitude of the radiating element which will also control the power distribution ratio of any current fed into the antennas. (Col 3 lines 40-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194

Art Unit: 2614

6. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (6184833), and further in view of Wong (6615026) and further in view of Thill (5678201).

As per claim 6, Tran discloses a portable phone comprising a dipole antenna mounted on a PCB opposite a speaker (as per claim 3-5 rejections). However, Tran does not disclose that the antenna is a set of dipole antennas that are fed the same power through phase control means, or that each antenna is coupled to an individual BALUN

Wong discloses a plurality of antennas with each antenna coupled to phase control means as per the rejection of claims 1,2. Wong further teaches that an array of phase controlled antennas may be used to control the direction of the radiated energy (Col 3 lines 40-60) and allow for better reception. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that an array of antennas with phase controlled power-feed could be used in the portable phone for the advantage of greater control of the radiated signals and allowing greater transmission energy to be steered towards a base station away from the user's head.

Thill teaches the well known concept of using a BALUN coupled to an individual radio antenna (ABSTRACT). Thill teaches that a BALUN acts to match impedance characteristics between an antenna and the driving or receiving circuitry (Col 1 lines 10-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that each antenna could be coupled with a BALUN for the purpose of matching impedances between each stage in the circuit.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,194 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

8. Applicant's arguments concerning claims 3-5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's arguments that Tran does not disclose the speaker and antenna arrangement described in claim 3. Examiner notes that a PCB may comprise any number of layers (there is no limitation on layers of a PCB). In the situation where the ground plane layer of the antenna is coupled to an intermediate pcb layer (acting as an 'antenna board') and the speaker is coupled to the other side of the intermediate PCB layer then Tran will read on applicant's claims 3-5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

AJ NOV 29, 2006